

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,991	04/13/2006	Lital Alfonta	54-000711US	2082	
22798 7590 G447772099 QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, P.C. P O BOX 458			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GEBREYESUS, KAGNEW H		
ALAMEDA, CA 94501			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1656		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/575,991	ALFONTA ET AL.				
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS	1656				
All Participants: Status of Application:						
(1) <u>KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Attorney Gary Baker</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 1 April 2009	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ Applicant's representative)						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 31, 48-58 Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:				
Part III.						
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview				
/KAGNEW H. GEBREYESUS/						
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The scope of the claims in general was discussed. Discussion iln view of limiting the scope encompassed in claim 31 by incorporating limitations from dependent claims, in particular incorporating the IRNA structure; the structural limitation of the active site disclosed for the ORS of SEQ ID NO: 1 into the ORS structures. Applicants agreed to send an amendment in a couple of days.